Dopamine-Related Disruption of Functional Topography of Striatal Connections in Unmedicated Patients With Schizophrenia
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IMPORTANCE Despite the well-established role of striatal dopamine in psychosis, current views generally agree that cortical dysfunction is likely necessary for the emergence of psychotic symptoms. The topographic organization of striatal-cortical connections is central to gating and integration of higher-order information, so a disruption of such topography via dysregulated dopamine could lead to cortical dysfunction in schizophrenia. However, this hypothesis remains to be tested using multivariate methods ascertaining the global pattern of striatal connectivity and without the confounding effects of antipsychotic medication.

OBJECTIVES To examine whether the pattern of brain connectivity across striatal subregions is abnormal in unmedicated patients with schizophrenia and whether this abnormality relates to psychotic symptoms and extrasomal dopaminergic transmission.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS In this multimodal, case-control study, we obtained resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging data from 18 unmedicated patients with schizophrenia and 24 matched healthy controls from the New York State Psychiatric Institute. A subset of these (12 and 17, respectively) underwent positron emission tomography with the dopamine D2 receptor radiotracer carbon-11-labeled FLB457 before and after amphetamine administration. Data were acquired between June 16, 2011, and February 25, 2014. Data analysis was performed from September 1, 2014, to January 11, 2016.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Group differences in the striatal connectivity pattern (assessed via multivariable logistic regression) across striatal subregions, the association between the multivariate striatal connectivity pattern and extrasomal baseline D2 receptor binding potential and its change after amphetamine administration, and the association between the multivariate connectivity pattern and the severity of positive symptoms evaluated with the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale.

RESULTS Of the patients with schizophrenia (mean [SEM] age, 35.6 [11.8] years), 9 (50%) were male and 9 (50%) were female. Of the controls (mean [SEM] age, 33.7 [8.8] years), 10 (42%) were male and 14 (58%) were female. Patients had an abnormal pattern of striatal connectivity, which included abnormal caudate connections with a distributed set of associative cortex regions ($\chi^2_{29} = 53.55$, $P = .004$). In patients, more deviation from the multivariate pattern of striatal connectivity found in controls correlated specifically with more severe positive symptoms ($\rho = -0.77$, $P = .002$). Striatal connectivity also correlated with baseline binding potential across cortical and extrasomal subcortical regions ($t_{25} = 3.01$, $P = .01$, Bonferroni corrected) but not with its change after amphetamine administration.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE Using a multimodal, circuit-level interrogation of striatal-cortical connections, it was demonstrated that the functional topography of these connections is globally disrupted in unmedicated patients with schizophrenia. These findings suggest that striatal-cortical dysconnectivity may underlie the effects of dopamine dysregulation on the pathophysiologic mechanism of psychotic symptoms.
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he striatum, in particular the associative striatum, is a central pathologic site in schizophrenia. Excessive dopamine in the associative striatum is an established finding in unmedicated patients with schizophrenia in whom the capacity for amphetamine-induced dopamine release correlates with worsening of psychotic symptoms. Such striatal excess of dopamine is thought to mediate its effects on cognition via basal ganglia-thalamocortical (BGTC) circuits, which normally modulate cortical function by gating incoming information to the cortex. A topographic organization within the BGTC circuits is thus crucial for anatomically selective gating of information to relevant cortical targets, and its disruption could produce various symptoms characteristic of schizophrenia.

In normal conditions, cortical inputs to the striatum are topographically organized in semiparallel loops. In addition, hot spot territories of converging inputs exist in the associative striatum that may be necessary to integrate disparate sources of reward and cognitive information. In schizophrenia, although the pairwise connectivity of specific striatal subregions with other brain regions has been assessed to some extent with univariate functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) analyses, it is largely unknown whether such functional topography of striatal connections as a whole (ie, the global pattern of connections across striatal subregions rather than connection strengths between specific pairs of striatal subregions and extrastriatal regions) is disrupted.

A key modulator of synaptic connectivity and plasticity in the striatum is dopamine. Indeed, a fundamental role of dopamine in learning depends on its ability to modulate synaptic plasticity and thus the functional efficacy of neuronal connections at striatal synapses and elsewhere. Therefore, excessive dopamine transmission in the associative striatum could disrupt the organization of striatal-cortical connections, ultimately leading to aberrant information processing and psychosis. Disrupted connectivity could be further compounded by a deficit in extrastriatal dopamine, as recently found in schizophrenia. At the same time, the established effects of dopamine on striatal connectivity in healthy participants suggest that antipsychotic drugs may critically mask underlying abnormalities in striatal connectivity in medicated patients. Because this confounder may affect most fMRI connectivity studies conducted in medicated patients with schizophrenia, it is therefore imperative to examine this question in unmedicated patients.

We investigated the pattern of resting-state functional connectivity across subregions of the striatum in unmedicated patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls using a multivariate approach. Our main aims were to test whether the functional topography of striatal connections is altered in schizophrenia and whether such abnormalities correlate with severity of psychotic symptoms and with amphetamine-induced dopamine release and dopamine D2 receptor (D2R) density.

Methods

Participants

Unmedicated patients with schizophrenia (n = 19) and healthy controls (n = 24) were recruited at the New York State Psychiatric Institute and through advertisements. All participants provided written informed consent as approved by the institutional review boards of the New York State Psychiatric Institute and Yale University, and data were deidentified. Inclusion criteria for healthy controls were absence of any DSM-IV Axis I diagnosis and of psychotic illness in first-degree relatives. Inclusion criteria for patients were lifetime DSM-IV diagnosis of schizophrenia or schizoaffective or schizophreniform disorder (based on the Diagnostic Interview for Genetic Studies and consensus diagnosis), no antipsychotics for 3 weeks before study enrollment, and no violent behavior. Common exclusion criteria were significant medical illnesses, misuse of substances other than nicotine, positive urine drug screen result, pregnancy, and nursing. Positron emission tomography (PET) and task-based fMRI data on 28 of the 42 study participants were previously published. 

Symptom severity was assessed with the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS), the Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS), and the Scale for Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS). The Hollingshead scale, the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory, and the MATRICS (Measurement and Treatment Research to Improve Cognition in Schizophrenia) Consensus Cognitive Battery were also administered.

Resting-State fMRI Data Acquisition

Participants completed 2 runs of 165 volumes each on a 1.5-T scanner (Koninklijke Philips NV). They were instructed to relax and keep their eyes open. Participants were spoken to before and after each sequence to ensure wakefulness. Whole-brain functional echoplanar images were obtained using an 8-channel coil (3-mm isotropic voxels; eMethods in the Supplement).

fMRI Preprocessing

Preprocessing followed standard procedures in SPM8 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging) and scrubbing procedures for resting-state fMRI (eMethods in the Supplement). Scrubbing cutoffs were established based on a larger data set for 2 indexes of motion-related data quality reflecting the rate.

Key Points

Question Is the overall pattern of striatal connections with the rest of the brain abnormal in schizophrenia and does it relate to symptoms and extrastriatal dopamine parameters?

Findings In this multimodal functional magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography study, 18 unmedicated patients with schizophrenia had an abnormal pattern of striatal connectivity compared with 24 healthy controls, an abnormality that correlated with severity of positive symptoms and with lower extrastriatal dopamine D2 receptor density.

Meaning Abnormal striatal-cortical circuitry in schizophrenia may play an important role in the pathophysiological mechanisms of psychosis and relates to lower extrastriatal dopamine D2 receptor function.
of change in brain-wide signal (DVARS) and total instantaneous framewise displacement (FD), respectively. One patient was excluded because of excessive motion. Temporal band-pass filtering, spatial smoothing, and voxelwise z scoring of time series were applied.

Region-of-Interest Definition
Striatal and extrastriatal regions of interest (ROIs) were manually drawn on individual T1-weighted scans as defined previously.25,26 The striatum was divided into 5 subregions: (1) ventral striatum, (2) anterior (precommissural) caudate, (3) posterior (postcommissural) caudate, (4) anterior putamen, and (5) posterior putamen. Unsmoothed fMRI data from each subregion were averaged across the containing voxels (collapsing across hemispheres; eMethods in the Supplement) and z scored to construct seed time series. Extracting the first eigenvariate instead of the mean yielded almost identical results, indicating high functional homogeneity within subregions. Ipsilateral and contralateral connectivity was highly consistent within subregions (eMethods in the Supplement).

fMRI Data Analysis
Participant-level analyses consisted of a generalized linear model in SPM8, including the following regressors: spatially averaged time series for each of the 5 striatal subregions (seeds) (regressors 1-5),27 time series for white matter and cerebrospinal fluid regions of no interest (eMethods in the Supplement) (regressors 6-7), 6 head motion (realignment) parameters and their first derivatives (all band-pass filtered) (regressors 8-19), and run and global intercepts (regressors 20-21). One dummy variable was additionally included to censor each artificial set of adjacent volumes exceeding the DVARS or FD cutoffs. The resulting regression coefficient (β) maps for each striatal subregion, representing connection strengths for each brain voxel with 1 striatal subregion while controlling for the other 4 (and the nuisance variables), were used subsequently.

Multivariate fMRI Analyses
Our primary goal was to test whether the overall pattern of striatal connectivity predicted group membership using logistic regression. We used a multivariate approach rather than univariate analyses because the latter can only test connectivity between individual seeds and target regions but not the overall (multivariate) pattern of connectivity across seeds. Our purpose in using logistic regression, however, was not as a diagnostic classifier but rather as a test of multivariate differences in connectivity. Exploratory analyses (eMethods in the Supplement) used a multivariate recursive feature elimination support vector machine classifier for the former purpose.

First, whole-brain connectivity β maps for each striatal subregion were parcellated into Brodmann areas (BA) and subcortical nuclei using the Talairach Daemon Atlas in the Wake Forest University PickAtlas toolbox28,29 (henceforth referred to as target regions), after excluding striatal voxels. Second, a data-reduction step retained only target regions that exhibited significant connectivity with any of the striatal subregions (P ≤ .005, uncorrected, in ≥20% of the voxels) in either group; 29 regions met these criteria (for individual striatal subregions 1-5, the number of target regions to meet criteria were 0, 24, 2, 5, and 4, respectively). Although these selection criteria were unbiased toward any subregion, they yielded more target regions for the anterior caudate given its stronger widespread connectivity.

Next, logistic regression was used to predict group membership based on connection strengths for striatal-target pairs (ie, individual mean connectivity β values for each target region by striatal subregion). Because including all pairs as predictor variables would result in an underdetermined model, we used model building with step-forward selection to progressively include sets of predictor variables, with each of 5 possible sets consisting of all connectivity β values for target regions significantly associated with a given striatal subregion. Models that consisted of predictors from 1 set at a time were analyzed, and the set that resulted in the lowest P value was retained. Additional sets of variables were retained in the model if they significantly improved the model fit (P < .05, likelihood ratio test). The likelihood ratio test for the final model was our a priori test of group differences in connectivity patterns (other P values were used only for data-reduction and model-building steps). Once the final model was determined, the participantwise predicted log odds of belonging to the healthy group based on the multivariate connectivity pattern was used as a summary index of degree of abnormality in the striatal connectivity pattern for further correlational analyses with clinical and PET data (note that these analyses were unbiased because the ordering of the log odds across individuals was orthogonal to all clinical and PET data except for group membership, which was controlled for appropriately; eMethods in the Supplement).

These correlational analyses used parametric tests except when the data were not normally distributed (based on a Lilliefors test), in which case nonparametric tests were used. Spearman rank (partial) correlations were used to assess the association between connectivity and severity of positive symptoms within patients based on the PANSS positive total (PANSS-PT) scores, while controlling for negative symptoms as measured by the PANSS negative total (PANSS-NT) scores. Robust multiple linear regression (iteratively reweighted least squares with bisquare-weighting function) was used to assess the association between connectivity and PET data across all participants while controlling for group and nuisance variables. Spearman rank correlations were used for exploratory analyses of the MATRICS composite score. Effects surviving a P = .05 are reported. Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons was used when necessary. Finally, the number of artificial volumes regressed out for each participant was also used as an fMRI data quality score.

PET Preprocessing and Data Analysis
A detailed description of PET procedures and results was previously published.15 Participants underwent 2 PET scans with the D2 radiotracer carbon-11-labeled FLB457 on an HR+ scanner (Siemens) at Yale University; a baseline scan and another scan 3 hours after oral administration of amphetamine (0.5 mg/kg). Arterial plasma was collected to form metabolite-corrected input functions. Kinetic modeling used a 2-tissue compartment model that incorporated a set of shared param-
eter estimates across predefined ROIs. Binding potential (BPND) and its relative change from baseline after amphetamine (ΔBPND) were estimated in each ROI excluding the striatum; striatal BPND cannot be quantified with [11C]FLB457 because of its slow washout in this high D2R density region. Both BPND and ΔBPND values were highly correlated across regions (mean r of approximately 0.8). Thus, as a data reduction step, we performed principal component analyses with varimax rotation, separately for BPND and ΔBPND, across regions. Horn's parallel analyses yielded a 1-factor solution for baseline BPND (corresponding to D2R density across all regions) and a 1-factor solution for ΔBPND (corresponding to dopamine release across all regions). For correlational analyses, individual factor scores were calculated as the weighted sum of factor loadings by the PET measures (BPND or ΔBPND) across ROIs.

### Results

**Sociodemographic and Clinical Characteristics**

Groups were matched for sociodemographic characteristics, nicotine smoking, and head motion after scrubbing (Table). Clinical characteristics are also given in the Table.

### Functional Topography of Striatal Connections (Multivariate fMRI Analysis)

The pattern of connection strengths across striatal-target pairs differed numerically between the groups, particularly for the anterior caudate (Figure 1). Statistically, the logistic regression model that best explained group membership ($\chi^2_{29} = 53.55, P = .004$, likelihood ratio [omnibus] test) was one that included all connection pairs related to the anterior caudate (with 24 pairs) and posterior caudate (with 5 pairs) (Figure 1). Thus, the pattern of functional connections between the caudate and specific regions of the cortex and thalamus was abnormal in patients. Cortical regions that individually contributed to this effect included prefrontal (BAs 8, 10, and 44), sensory association (BAs 20, 22, 19, and 7), posterior cingulate (BA 23), and entorhinal (BA 28) cortices. The log odds of belonging to the healthy group did not relate to medication status or age ($P = .20$ and $P = .23$, respectively).

Alternative definitions of the relevant target regions yielded similar results (eMethods in the Supplement). Additional analyses suggested that, although connectivity patterns for each striatal subregion could separately predict group membership, the pattern associated with the posterior cau-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Controls (n = 24)</th>
<th>Patients (n = 18)</th>
<th>P Valueb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SEM), y</td>
<td>33.7 (8.8)</td>
<td>35.6 (11.8)</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10 (42)</td>
<td>9 (50)</td>
<td>.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14 (58)</td>
<td>9 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>6 (25)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>8 (33)</td>
<td>9 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>5 (21)</td>
<td>4 (22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental SES</td>
<td>39.0 (13.9)</td>
<td>45.6 (11.7)</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS, mean (SEM) [range]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive total score [range, 7-49]</td>
<td>7.0 (0.0) [7-8]</td>
<td>13.6 (6.4) [9-23]</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative total score [range, 7-49]</td>
<td>8.8 (1.4) [7-16]</td>
<td>15.1 (5.8) [7-25]</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General total score [range, 16-112]</td>
<td>17.0 (1.4) [16-25]</td>
<td>29.1 (7.8) [18-53]</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery composite T scores</td>
<td>46.3 (2.5)</td>
<td>33.3 (3.7)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication status (drug naive or drug free)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset psychotic symptoms, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of psychotic illness, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored data (No. of scrubbed volumes)</td>
<td>64.3 (13.5)</td>
<td>99.5 (21.7)</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD after censoringc</td>
<td>0.29 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.0)</td>
<td>.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval between fMRI and PET, d</td>
<td>20.5 (24.8)</td>
<td>7.2 (2.6)</td>
<td>.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ellipses, data not applicable; FD, framewise displacement; fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; MATRICS, Measurement and Treatment Research to Improve Cognition in Schizophrenia; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; PET, positron emission tomography; SES, socioeconomic status.

* Data are presented as number (percentage) of participants unless otherwise indicated. All 18 patients met DSM-IV criteria for schizophrenia (vs other disorders in the schizophrenia spectrum). See eTable 1 in the Supplement for the subset of participants with fMRI and PET data. See eTable 2 in the Supplement for PET scanning parameters and additional data.

b P values correspond to 2-sample t tests for continuous variables and $\chi^2$ tests for categorical variables.

c Mean FD is given for scrubbed fMRI frames.
Finally, a recursive feature elimination support vector machine classifier discriminated patients and controls above chance based on the global pattern of striatal connectivity (including pairs for all subregions except for the ventral striatum; eFigure in the Supplement).

**Clinical Correlations**

Patients with a more abnormal (less controllike) striatal connectivity pattern (ie, lower log odds of belonging to the healthy control group) had more severe positive symptoms (PANSS-PT: $\rho = -0.76, P = .001$; SAPS: $\rho = -0.66, P = .008$) (Figure 2). This correlation was not observed with negative symptoms.
related to the PET measures (Positron Emission Tomography (PET) scores, even after adjusting for fMRI data quality scores and first principal component score), adjusted by group and functional magnetic resonance imaging data quality score across all participants (note that group adjustment shifts the group means such that the connectivity pattern appears to overlap between the groups along the x-axis). For positive and negative symptoms, values are ranked across subjects given that the appropriate corresponding tests are nonparametric. $^{[11]}$C indicates radiotracer carbon $^{11}$-labeled.

Scatterplots show associations between connectivity pattern (log odds of belonging to the healthy group based on the multivariate pattern of striatal connectivity) and severity of positive symptoms based on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale positive total (PANSS-PT) scores, severity of negative symptoms based on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale negative total (PANSS-NT) scores, and baseline binding potential (BP$_{ND}$) (corresponding to the first principal component score), adjusted by group and functional magnetic resonance imaging data quality score across all participants (note that group adjustment shifts the group means such that the connectivity pattern appears to overlap between the groups along the x-axis). For positive and negative symptoms, values are ranked across subjects given that the appropriate corresponding tests are nonparametric. $^{[11]}$C indicates radiotracer carbon $^{11}$-labeled.

Correlations With $^{[11]}$CFLB457 PET Measures
We found that more controllike striatal connectivity patterns correlated with higher baseline BP$_{ND}$, principal component scores, even after adjusting for fMRI data quality scores and group ($\beta = .27, t_{25} = 3.01, P = .006, P = .01$, Bonferroni corrected) (Figure 2) but no interaction of connectivity pattern by group or significant associations with $\Delta$BP$_{ND}$. Excluding a potentially influential outlier did not alter this result ($P = .002$). This effect was also apparent within patients only ($P = .005$) and was corroborated by alternative analyses and across individual regions (eMethods in the Supplement). Age was unrelated to the PET measures ($P = .12$ and $P = .48$ for baseline and $\Delta$BP$_{ND}$, respectively). Baseline BP$_{ND}$, principal component scores were similar in both groups ($t_{27} = 0.76, P = .45$).

Exploratory Correlations With Neurocognitive Measures
Exploratory analyses revealed a correlation between abnormal striatal connectivity patterns and worse MATRICS composite scores in patients ($\rho = 0.68, P = .03$) but not in controls.

Exploratory Analyses of Univariate Voxelwise Functional Connectivity and Global Brain Connectivity
A test of the group by striatal subregion interaction and the group effects for individual subregions did not yield significant results (eMethods in the Supplement). In healthy controls, but not in patients, the anterior caudate had a significantly stronger connectivity than any of the other subregions to prefrontal, parietal, and temporal association cortices, as well as to the thalamus ($P = .001, P = .003, P = .003$, and $P = .001$, respectively, false discovery rate corrected) (Figure 3). However, the group difference was not significant. Finally, the anterior caudate had higher global brain connectivity (eMethods in the Supplement) or weighted degree centrality, a graph theoretic index of hubness, than the other striatal subregions ($P < .001, P = .02, P < .001$, and $P = .001$ for ventral striatum, anterior putamen, posterior caudate, and posterior putamen, respectively) (Figure 4). The global brain connectivity of the anterior caudate was numerically but not significantly reduced in patients (eMethods in the Supplement).

**Discussion**
Our results indicate that the normal functional topography of striatal connections, particularly but not solely of caudate connections, is disrupted in schizophrenia. Because our sample was exclusively composed of unmedicated patients, this disruption is unlikely attributable to antipsychotic medication and may instead be relevant to the pathophysiologic mechanisms of schizophrenia. Further supporting this interpretation, abnormal striatal connectivity correlated with clinical severity, specifically with severity of positive symptoms but not negative symptoms. Finally, abnormal connectivity also correlated with lower density of D$_2$Rs across cortical and extrastriatal subcortical brain regions within the same individuals.

Our results converge in suggesting that the healthy caudate, in particular the anterior caudate, is a connectivity...
In health, connectivity of the anterior caudate with an extended set of higher-order associative regions was stronger than that of other striatal subregions. In contrast, this primacy of the anterior caudate was not as apparent in patients. The pattern observed in health is consistent with previous work indicating that the anterior caudate receives overlapping projections from various regions in frontoparietal cortices. This previous work suggests that in addition to the semisegregated loops supporting specialization, convergence zones within the anterior caudate may serve as information-processing hubs relevant for integration.

Given this, our results of abnormal striatal connectivity patterns in schizophrenia and its association with positive symptoms may suggest that a disruption that affects, among other regions, a striatal hub for information multiplexing is involved in psychosis, in line with dysconnectivity hypotheses. Our findings may be consistent with findings of disrupted hemispheric specialization and decreased global connectivity of the caudate, as well as those indicating deficient striatocortical connectivity in schizophrenia and altered caudate-cortical connectivity in individuals at high risk for psychosis. Although dysfunction and dysconnectivity of the (ventral) striatum may relate to negative symptoms, we failed to find such an association, although more work in this direction is warranted. Together with findings highlighting the role of striatal dopamine dysregulation in schizophrenia, our results suggest that disruptions of striatal circuitry may plausibly mediate the deleterious effects of dopamine dysregulation on cortical processes involved in perception and cognition.

Our PET results indeed suggest that abnormal striatal connectivity in schizophrenia may depend on dopamine. We failed to detect an association between dysconnectivity and amphetamine-induced dopamine release, possibly because of the limited range of extrastriatal release in patients, who had a marked deficit in release with preserved D2R levels. However, we observed more abnormal connectivity associated with lower baseline density of D2R across extrastriatal regions. Prefrontal dopamine exerts a bidirectional modulation of network activity by tuning recurrent network excitation, where D2 stimulation reduces inhibitory postsynaptic currents. Therefore, decreased D2Rs could potentially explain aberrant network activity propagating through BGTC circuits and leading to disruptions in activity-dependent plasticity consistent with the observed pattern of dysconnectivity in patients. Furthermore, the generalized deficit in extrastriatal dopamine release in patients is likely to compound lower D2R expression, further dampening dopamine D2 function in those patients with more manifest dysconnectivity. Finally, the observed associations among D2R, deficient striatal connectivity, and psychosis are consistent with a study that reported that improvement of psychosis after D2R-blocking medication correlated with strengthened caudate-prefrontal connectivity.

Our study has several limitations. The limited sample size may have increased the risk of false-negative results and effect size inflation. Our choice of radiotracer precluded measures of striatal dopamine and of D1R, which would lead to a better understanding of the association between dopamine and dysconnectivity. Nonetheless, this is, to our
knowledge, the first multimodal study in schizophrenia that combines molecular imaging and a systems-level fMRI connectivity approach. Exploratory univariate analyses failed to find a group difference in contrast to our primary multivariate analysis. However, this finding could suggest that multivariate measures provide a more powerful way to interrogate circuit pathologic mechanisms. Finally, a general limitation of resting-state studies is the lack of control over transient mental states experienced during data collection. Although we did not debrief participants after scanning, psychotic symptoms experienced in the scanner tend to correlate highly with prescanning severity scores. Thus, our findings related to psychosis severity may partly reflect psychopathologic states experienced in the scanner.

Conclusions

Unmedicated patients with schizophrenia have abnormalities in the functional topography of striatal connections. These abnormalities correlate specifically with more severe psychotic symptoms and lower density of extrastriatal D₂R, suggesting the relevance of this neural phenotype to the pathophysiologic mechanisms of psychosis and potentially informing new therapeutic targets at the intersection between molecules and neural systems. Our results further suggest that the striatum contains connectivity hubs relevant for cognitive integration whose disruption may impair higher-order cortical processes involved in psychosis.
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